

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/029,914	ELONEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Greg Bengzon	2144	

**All Participants:**

(1) Greg Bengzon.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 25 October 2006

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Roland Long, 41949.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claims 5-7*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*


  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**WILLIAM VAUGHN**  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Bengzon requested authorization for Examiner's amendment regarding Claims 5-7, which currently indicate improper dependency on cancelled Claim 3. The Claims should be amended to indicate dependency on Claim 1, in order to be in condition for allowance. Mr. Long has agreed to said Claim dependencies for Claims 5-7 and granted authority for Examiner's amendment..